E- ISSN: 2348-3962, P-ISSN: 2394-5583

Received on 21 April 2020; received in revised form, 24 August 2020; accepted, 29 August 2020; published 01 September 2020

# EFFICACY OF NEWER INSECTICIDES ON PLANT GROWTH ATTRIBUTES OF GARDEN PEA PISUM SATIVUM SSP. HORTENSE L.

Alka Sai, Anand Kumar Singh, B. K. Singh, Ravinsh Kumar Maurya and Pushpendra Singh

Department of Horticulture, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi - 221005, Uttar Pradesh, India.

#### **Keywords:**

Neonicotinoids, Garden pea, Insecticides, Treatment

## Correspondence to Author: Dr. Anand Kumar Singh

Associate Professor, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi -221005, Uttar Pradesh, India.

**E-mail:** anandsingh@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT:** A field study was conducted at the Vegetable Research Farm, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, during rabi season 2016-2017 for the evaluation of newer insecticides (Imidacloprid 600 FS and Thiamethoxam 30 % FS) on plant growth characters of a garden pea. The two insecticides were applied as a seed treatment in different doses. The result of bio-efficiency revealed that the seed treatment with T<sub>3</sub> gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS) @ 2 ml/kg was found effective in promoting plant growth and increased germination % (93.84%) and maximum plant stand per meter square(m²) (30.40%). Less number of days to 50% flowering (43.33 days) and maximum plant height at last harvesting stage (91.07 cm) were also recorded in seed treatment with T<sub>3</sub> gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS), while there was no significant difference in plant height at 30 DAS and 45 DAS. However, all the seed treatment was found superior over untreated control.

**INTRODUCTION:** Garden pea (*Pisum sativum* spp. hortens L.) is grown throughout the world for varieties of uses as food and fodder. Garden pea is the world's third most important legume grain after soybean and common beans (Timmerman-Vaughan *et al.*, 2005). Garden pea belongs to the family Leguminosae. It is an annual herbaceous plant. The plant is semi-erect, but when support is available, it has a tendency to climb. The plants grow to a height of about 30-200 cm. In India, during the year 2016-17, the area and production of pea is 545.9 thousand ha and 5451.60 thousand million tonnes, respectively, and productivity is 10 MT/ ha. Uttar Pradesh ranks first in area (175.01 ha) and production (1877.93 MT).



**DOI:** 10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.IJP.7(9).223-28

The article can be accessed online on www.ijpjournal.com

**DOI link:** http://dx.doi.org/10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.IJP.7(9).223-28

53.45 thousand ha with 534.0 thousand million tonnes production and 10.0 MT/ha productivity (Anonymous, 2017). Being a cool-season crop, it is mostly cultivated in states of Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Haryana, Punjab, Himachal Pradesh, Orissa, and Karnataka. It ranks among the top 10 vegetable crops. In tropics and subtropics, its cultivation is restricted to cooler altitude and winter season (Patidar, 2014). It has a prominent place in human diet. Garden pea is highly nutritive and rich source of protein and carbohydrate along with minerals, vitamins A, B, and C (Pandita and Pratap, 1990).

In Madhya, Pradesh pea is covering an area of over

Growth and yield improvements have been reported for a few insecticides. Some insecticides, apart from keeping the crop free from pest, but they promote growth also. Neonicotinoids (Imidacloprid) and Thiamethoxam) are a group of crop protection agents highly effective against sucking pests, which act on receptor protein of the insect nervous system.

They are acute contact and stomach poisons with translaminar activity and systemic properties. Their selectivity, lower use rate, and safety to beneficial insects especially when used as seed dressers, make neonicotinoids an ideal component in any integrated pest management (IPM) program resulting in less xenobiotic load in the environment. Neonicotinoids are known to interact with the insect nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAchR) (Yamamoto *et al.*, 1995; Matsuda *et al.*, 2000).

However, reports in the literature on the effects of insecticides on plant growth are limited, although there have been some reports of increased plant height and flowering (Van Tol and Lentz, 1999). Plant height was significantly higher in seed treated plots with Imidacloprid and Thiamethoxam in cotton (Vadodaria *et al.*, 2001).

Imidacloprid, the first commercialized neonicotinoid insecticide, may possess some plant growth regulating properties also. Field studies on cotton in 2004 in Arkansas have also shown growth and yield enhancement by Imidacloprid (Gonias *et al.*, 2006). The present study deals with the influence of neonicotinoid insecticides (Imidacloprid and Thiamethoxam) as a seed treatment in different formulations on plant growth parameters like germination %, plant stand per meter square (m²), days to 50% flowering, and plant height (cm) at 30 DAS, 45 DAS and at last harvesting stage.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS:** The studies were conducted at Vegetable Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh during Rabi 2016 - 2017. The garden pea cultivar 'Azad Pea 3' were planted at a spacing of 30x10 cm.

The experiments were arranged in a completely randomized block design with three replications and seven plots per replication. Healthy garden pea seeds, at the recommended seed rate, seed dressing formulations of Thiamethoxam 30 % FS @ 1 g a.i./kg (3.3 ml/kg seed) and 1.2 g a.i./kg (4 ml/kg seed).

Imidacloprid 600 FS @ 0.6 g a.i. g/kg (1 ml/kg seed), 1.2 g a.i. /kg (2 ml/kg seed), 1.8 g a.i./kg (3 ml/kg seed) and 3.6 g a.i./kg (6 ml/kg seed) were done. One kilogram of the seed of garden pea taken

in separate polythene bags, and chemicals were added and thoroughly mixed until all the seeds were uniformly coated with the formulations. The seeds thus treated were then allowed to dry in the shade for twenty-four hours and sown in respective plots in the field. Plants were under care so that there was no pest infestation or disease infection.

The plant growth parameters like germination percentage, plant stand per m<sup>2</sup>, days to 50% flowering, plant height (cm) at 30 DAS, 40 DAS, and at last harvesting stage, were recorded after the seed treatment.

### **EXPERIMENTAL PROTOCOL:**

**Germination** (%): Germination % of garden pea plant was recorded from each plot at 15 DAS. The number of seedlings which was emerged counted from each treatment per plot. The germination (%) was worked out.

Plant Stand Per Metre Square (m<sup>2</sup>): Number of the plant were recorded in a 1-meter square in five spots in each treatment, quadrant of 1 meter is taken to evaluate the number of the plant in 1-meter square area in five spots in each treatment. The mean value of the five spots was worked out.

**Days to 50% flowering:** Number of days taken to initiate 50% flowering in each treatment per plots was observed and recorded the day's. The mean number of days for flower initiation of 50% plants of a plot was worked out from the recorded observations.

Plant Height at 30 days, 40 Das and last Harvesting Stage (cm): Plant height of five randomly selected plants were taken in each treatment per plots by measuring the height from bottom to the shoot tip of the plant by using a meter scale. The mean value of five plants was worked out at different stages.

**Statistical Analysis:** The mean values for all the treatment in each replication were subjected to statistical analysis in the computer program SPAR-II developed by IASRI, New Delhi, and the results were computed.

**Analysis of Variance:** The table for analysis of variance (ANOVA) was set, as explained by Gomez and Gomez (1984).

#### **RESULTS:**

Germination % the data presented in Table 1, revealed the significant differences in the percent germination of garden pea seed recorded at 15 DAS. The percent germination in different treatments varied from 84.53 to 94.83.

The highest germination % (94.83 %) was recorded in seed treatment with  $T_3$  gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS)@ 2 ml/kg which was followed by seed treatment with  $T_2$  gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS) @ 1 ml/kg seed (92.37 %),  $T_4$  gaucho (Imidaclopriod 600 FS) @ 3 ml/kg seed (90.97 %). However, all the treatments were superior over the untreated control T1 which was recorded (85.87 %) germination.

Plant Stand per Metre Square (M<sup>2</sup>): The data pertaining to the plant stand per meter square (m<sup>2</sup>) is presented in Table 1, showed that the plant stand ranged from 25.33 to 30.40. The highest plant stand per meter square was recorded in seed treatment with T<sub>3</sub> gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS) @ 2 ml/kg

seed (30.40 plant stand per  $m^2$ ), which was statistically at par with seed treatment  $T_2$  gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS) @ 1ml/kg seed (29.78 plant stand per  $m^2$ ) followed by seed treatment with  $T_5$  (Thiamethoxam 30 % FS) @ 3.3 ml/kg seed (25.93 plant stand per  $m^2$ ). However, all the treatments were found significantly superior over the untreated control  $T_1$ , which was (25.35 plants per  $m^2$ ).

**Days To 50% flowering:** Days to 50 % flowering of garden pea presented in table 1, ranged from 43.33 to 48.33 days.

The earliest in days to 50% flowering was recorded in treatment  $T_3$  gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS) @ 2 ml/kg seed (43.33 days), which was statistically at par with  $T_2$  treatment gaucho (Imidacloprid600 FS) @ 1 ml/kg seed (45.00 days) followed by  $T_4$  gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS) @ 3 ml/kg (45.67 days). However, all the treatments were superior over untreated control  $T_1$ , which took maximum days for 50 % flowering (48.33 days).

TABLE 1: EFFECT OF SEED TREATMENT WITH THIAMETHOXAM AND IMIDACLOPRID ON GERMINATION %, PLANT STAND PER METRE SQUARE (M²), DAYS TO 50% FLOWERING

Treatment	Dosage/ha	Formulations	Germinatio	Plant stand per	Days to 50%
	<b>a.i.</b> ( <b>g</b> )	(ml or gm)	n %	meter square (m)	flowering
T <sub>1</sub> No spray	-	-	84.53 %	25.33	48.33
T <sub>2</sub> Gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS)	0.6	1	92.37	29.78	45.00
T <sub>3</sub> Gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS)	1.2	2	94.83	30.40	43.33
T <sub>4</sub> Gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS)	1.8	3	90.97	25.93	45.67
Ts Gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS)	2.4	4	90.60	25.70	47.00
T <sub>6</sub> Thiamethoxam 30% FS	1	3.3	90.47	25.78	46.33
T <sub>7</sub> Gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS)	6	6	90.17	26.67	47.67
CD 5%			2.24	0.90	2.69

TABLE 2: EFFECT OF SEED TREATMENT WITH THIAMETHOXAM AND IMIDACLOPRID ON PLANT HEIGHT (cm) AT 30 DAS. 45 DAS AND AT LAST HARVESTING TIME

Treatment	Dosage/ha	Formulation	Plant height	Plant height	Plant height at last
	<b>a.i.</b> ( <b>g</b> )	s (ml or gm)	(cm)30 DAS	(cm)45 DAS	harvesting stage (cm)
T <sub>1</sub> No spray	-	-	27.17	30.16	83.75
T <sub>2</sub> Gaucho Imidacloprid 600 FS)	0.6	1	29.64	32.42	89.87
T <sub>3</sub> Gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS)	1.2	2	30.40	32.95	91.07
T <sub>4</sub> Gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS)	1.8	3	28.61	32.08	89.40
T <sub>5</sub> Gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS)	2.4	4	28.48	31.44	83.92
T <sub>6</sub> Thiamethoxam 30% FS	1	3.3	28.49	31.79	89.27
T <sub>7</sub> Gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS)	3.6	6	28.37	30.99	83.87
CD 5%			NS	NS	2.33

Plant Height (Cm) at 30 Das, 40 Das, and at Last Harvesting Stage: The data presented in table 2, revealed that the plant height at 30 DAS and 45 DAS in different treatment varies between 27.17 cm to 29.64 cm and 30.16 cm to 32.95. The statistical scrutiny of data showed that there was no

significant difference in plant height at 30 DAS and 45 DAS. The maximum plant height at 30 DAS was recorded in seed treatment with  $T_2$  gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS) @ 1 ml/kg seed (29.64 cm). The maximum plant height at 45 DAS was recorded in seed treatment  $T_2$  gaucho (Imidacloprid

600 FS) @ 2 ml/kg seed (32.95 cm). Plant height (cm) at the last harvesting stage in different seed treatment plots were found significantly different and ranged between 83.92 cm to 91.07 cm. Maximum plant height was recorded in treatment T<sub>3</sub> gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS) @ 2 ml/kg seed (91.07 cm) which was statistically at par with treatment T<sub>2</sub> gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS) @ 1 ml/kg seed (89.87 cm), T<sub>4</sub> gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS) @ 3 ml/kg seed (89.40 cm), T gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS) @ 4 ml/kg seed (89.27 cm). However, all the treatments were superior over untreated control T<sub>1</sub>, which recorded minimum plant height (83.42cm).

#### **DISCUSSION:**

Germination %: Observation on germination % revealed that there was a significant difference in different seed treatments. The seed treatment with T<sub>3</sub> gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS) @ 2ml/kg seed recorded the highest germination % (94.83%). The next promising seed treatment was T<sub>2</sub> gaucho (Imidaclopriod 600 FS) @ 1 ml/kg seed (92.37%). The present finding is in agreement with Khandre *et al.*, (2017), that there was a higher germination percentage (92%) with Imidacloprid 70 WS @ 12 g/kg seed followed by Thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 1.50 g/kg seed (90%).

The present finding is in conformity with Kencharaddy (2011), who reported that the germination was higher in Imidacloprid 600 FS @ 10 ml/kg seed (96.00%) followed by Imidacloprid 70 WS @ 5 g/kg seeds (95.1%) and lower in Thiamethoxam 70 WS @ 5 g/kg seed (94.3%). The present finding is in line with Kotlinski (1999), who reported that Vitavax 250 FS and Gaucho 350 FS in all concentrations affected the seed germination and seedling weight in pea.

Plant Stand per Metre Square (m²): Different seed treatment showed a significant difference with regards to plant stand per m². It is proved from the data that the seed treatment with T 3 gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS) @ 2 ml/kg seed recorded the highest plant stand per meter square (30.40 m²). The next best treatment was T2 gaucho (Imidaclopriod 600 FS) @ 1ml/kg seed (29.78 m²). The above finding is in line with Somasundar (2010), who observed that the seed treatment with thiamethoxam (4.3 g and 8.6 g/kg), Imidacloprid (5

ml and 10 ml/kg) were recorded higher plant stand per 10 metre square ranged from 304.33 to 313.66. Similar finding also reported by Vijay Kumar et al. (2007), that the higher plant stand, monopodial and sympodial branches of cotton was found with seed treatment with imidacloprid @ 7.5 g/kg of seed.

Days To 50% flowering: There was significant difference among different seed treatment for days to 50% flowering. It is declared from the data that the seed treatment with T<sub>3</sub> gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS) @ 2 ml/kg seed recorded less number of days to 50% flowering and earliness in flowering (43.33 days). The next leading seed treatment was T<sub>2</sub> gaucho (Imidaclopriod 600 FS) @ 1 ml/kg seed (45.00 days). The above findings are in conformity with Dey *et al.* (2005), who reported that Imidacloprid 70 WS @ 5-10 g per kg seed produced less number of days to flower initiation (38.1 days) over control (42.30 days).

The above finding is in line with Jijisha (2012), who reported that the seed treatment with Imidacloprid (34.33) exhibited flower initiation in 34 days as against 40 days in untreated control indicating their significant effect in reducing the period for flower initiation. The two-season data revealed that days required for flower initiation in okra was significantly reduced by seed treatment with Imidacloprid (34.67) as compared to 40 days in untreated control.

Plant Height at 30 Das, 40 Das and at Last Harvesting Stage (cm): It is notified from the data that the seed treatment with T<sub>3</sub> gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS) @ 2 ml/k recorded the highest plant height at 30 DAS (29.64cm). The plant height at 45 DAS recorded highest in seed treatment with T 3 gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS) @ 2 ml/kg seed (32.95 cm). They are not statistically different from each other. Hence, the plant height at 30 DAS and 40 DAS were found no significant. There was a significant difference among the treatment regarding plants at the last harvesting stage including untreated control.

The plant height in different treatments ranged from 83.92 cm to 91.07 cm with significant differences. The highest plant height was recorded in treatment  $T_3$  gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS) @ 2 ml/kg seed (91.07 cm). This was statistically at par

with treatment  $T_2$  gaucho (Imidacloprid 600 FS) @1 ml/kg seed (89.87cm).

However, all the treatments were superior over untreated control with 83.42 cm. These findings are in conformity with Mote (1993), that an increase of plant height and leaves with Imidacloprid (1 to 5 percent a.i. w/w) seed treatment of sorghum. These findings are in line with Graham *et al.*, (1995) that in cotton, Imidacloprid (Gaucho) seed treatments showed increased plant height over control.

A similar finding was also reported by Mote *et al.* (1994) that the plant height was found to be superior in Imidacloprid seed treated plants in okra.

These findings are in agreement with Bhargava and Bhatnagar (2001) that the plant height was superior in plots with Imidacloprid 600 FS and 70 WS than the untreated check in okra. A similar finding was also reported by Qureshi *et al.* (2016) with priming method seeds treated with Imidaclorpid @ 6 g/kg attain maximum plant height 56.94 cm as compared to control, which gave minimum plant height which was 45.24 cm in bismarckia palm seed.

**CONCLUSION:** It is thus concluded that seed treatment with T<sub>3</sub> gaucho (imidacloprid 600 FS) @ 2 ml/kg seed can protect the crop from sucking pests upto 25-30 DAS. There was a significant difference in different seed treatments for germination %. Highest germination % (94.83%) was recorded from seed treatment T<sub>3</sub> gaucho (imidacloprid 600 FS) @ 2 ml/kg seed. There was a significant difference in different seed treatments with regards to plant stand per m². Seed treatment with T<sub>3</sub> gaucho (imidacloprid 600 FS) @ 2 ml/kg seed brought about a reduction from days to 43.33 days to 48.33 days for flower initiation, thereby inducing earliness in flowering.

Plant height at last harvesting stage (91.07 cm) was found in seed treatment with  $T_3$  gaucho (imidacloprid 600 FS) @ 2 ml/kg seed. All seed treatments were also effective and superior over untreated control  $T_1$ . All seed treatments were effective over untreated control and increasing plant growth characters in garden pea.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: Nil** 

**CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: Nil** 

#### **REFERENCES:**

 Vaughan TGM, Whitfield MCT, Butler FRS, Vadodaria MP, Patel UG, Patel CJ, Patel, RB and Maisuria IM: Thiamethoxam (Cruiser) 70 WS: a new seed dresser against sucking pests of cotton. Pestology 2001; 25: 13-18.

E- ISSN: 2348-3962, P-ISSN: 2394-5583

- Anonymous: National Horticulture Database. Ministry of Agricu and Farmers Welfare Govt of Ind Gur 2017; 26-30.
- Patidar SK: Evaluation of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) varieties for growth and seed yield under Malwa region of Madhya Pradesh. M.sc (Ag.) thesis Rajmata Vijayraje Scindia Krishi Vidyalaya, Gwalior 2014.
- Pandita ML and Pratap PS, Bose, TK and Som MG: Pea and beans in: (Eds. Vegetable Crops in India. Naya Prakash Calcutta 1990; 470.
- Bhargava KK and Bhatnagar A: Bioefficacy of imidacloprid as a seed dresser against sucking pests of okra. Pest Management Economi Zool 2001; 9(1): 31-34.
- Dey PK, Jana SK Chakraborty G and Somchoudhury AK: Evaluation of imidacloprid (70 WS and 20 SL) against sucking pest complex of okra, *Abelmoschus esculentus*. Journal Entomology Research 2005; 29(3): 215-18.
- Gonias ED, Oosterhuis DM and Bibi AC: Cotton growth and yield enhancement from the insecticide Trimax TM. The Americas J of Plant Scie and Biot 2008; 2: 60-62.
- 8. Graham CT, Jenkins JN and Carty JC: Performance of Gaucho TM seed treatment insecticide against early season cotton insect pests. in: proceedings of belt wide cotton conferences. San Antonio TX UAS 1998; 2: 917-18.
- Jijisha PK: Bioefficacy of neonicotinoid insecticides against insect pests of okra. M.sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Acharya N.G. Ranga, Hyderabad 2012; 66-67.
- Kencharaddi AV and Balikai RA: Effect of imidacloprid and thiamethoxam treated stored seeds on sucking pests in sunflower. Annals Plant Protection Scie 2011; 20: 107-13.
- 11. Khandare SP, Gawande RW and Ukey NS: Germination percentage in sorghum with different seed dressers and their effect on oviposition of sorghum shoot fly. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 2017; 5(3): 1435-37.
- 12. Kotlinski S: Comparison of some seed dressing chemicals combination on germination and weight of pea seedlings as affected by seeds, moisture sowing time and germination temperature. Progress in Plant Protection 1999; 39(2): 905-13.
- 13. Mote UN: A new insecticide, imidacloprid as a seed dresser for the control of sorghum shootfly. Pestology 1993; 18: 23-26.
- Mote UN, Datkhile RV and Pawar RB: Effect of insecticides as seed dressers on the infestation of sucking pests and plant growth characters of okra. Pestology 1994; 18(1): 5-9.
- 15. Qureshi US, Shakeel M, Qureshi KM, Saman C, Aamir SA, Mir AR and Qureshi AA: Effect of seed priming through gibberellic acid (GA3) and imidacloprid on bismarckia palm seed. J of Biosciences 2016; 8(40): 18-27.
- Somasundar, U: Studies on new seed dressing insecticides against insect pests of green gram and cowpea. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad 2010; 71.
- Van-Tol NB and Lentz LG 1999 Evaluation of adage 5FSTMfor early season insect control. In: Beltwide Cotton Conferences, eds. P Dugger and D. Richter, pp. 1098-1100. Memphis, TN: National Cotton Council 1999.
- 18. Kumar VK, Ravi H, Biradar Patil NK and Vyakarnhal BS: Storage of seeds coated with polymer, fungicide, insecticide and its effects on incidence of early sucking pests in cotton. J Agricultural Scienc 2007; 20(2): 381-83.

E- ISSN: 2348-3962, P-ISSN: 2394-5583

19. Yamamoto I, Yabuta G, Tomizawa, M, Saito T, Miyamoto T and Kagabu S: Molecular mechanism for selective

toxicity of nicotinoids and neonicotinoids. Journal of Pest Scienc 1995; 20: 33-40.

#### How to cite this article:

Sai A, Singh AK, Singh BK, Maurya RK and Singh P: Efficacy of newer insecticides on plant growth attributes of garden pea *Pisum sativum* ssp. hortense L. Int J Pharmacognosy 2020; 7(9): 223-28. doi link: http://dx.doi.org/10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.IJP.7(9).223-28.

This Journal licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non-commercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported License.

This article can be downloaded to Android OS based mobile. Scan QR Code using Code/Bar Scanner from your mobile. (Scanners are available on Google Playstore)