



Received on 29 January 2021; received in revised form, 28 March 2021; accepted, 30 March 2021; published 31 March 2021

## ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF THE HYDRO-ETHANOLIC TRUNK BARK EXTRACT OF *STEREOSPERMUM KUNTHIANUM* CHAM. TRUNK (BIGNONIACEAE) AND ITS FRACTIONS

Abdou Sarr<sup>\* 1</sup>, Assane Dieng<sup>2</sup>, Serigne Ibra Mbacké Dieng<sup>1</sup>, Khadidiatou Sonko<sup>1</sup>, Daouda Diatara<sup>1</sup>, Alioune Dior Fall<sup>1</sup> and Emmanuel Bassene<sup>1</sup>

Laboratory of Pharmacognosy and Botany<sup>1</sup>, FMPO, UCAD, Dakar, B.P: 5005 Dakar Fann, Senegal.

Laboratory of Bacteriology and Virology<sup>2</sup>, Aristide Le Dantec Hospital, 30, Av Pasteur, Dakar, Senegal.

### Keywords:

Antimicrobial activity,  
*Stereospermum kunthianum*,  
bark, MIC

### Correspondence to Author:

Abdou Sarr

Laboratory of Pharmacognosy and  
Botany, FMPO, UCAD, Dakar, B.P:  
5005 Dakar Fann, Senegal.

E-mail: as2mar@yahoo.fr

**ABSTRACT:** *Stereospermum kunthianum* Cham. is a small, to medium-sized tree, sometimes only a small shrub. Bark grey, smooth, sometimes flaking, revealing pale under bark. In Senegal this plant is used in traditional medicine against gastritis, asthenia, respiratory diseases but also as a wound healing. The present study was taken up to research an anti-bacterial activity in the trunk bark hydro-ethanolic extract and its fractions obtained by using different solvents. Extract and fractions were tested on clinical strains and reference strains of four bacteria: *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Enterococcus faecalis*. The methods of diffusion in agar medium and micro-dilution in liquid medium were used. The results showed that on clinical strains, the lowest Minimum Inhibitory Concentration: MIC (0.156 mg/ml) was observed with the hydro-ethanolic extract and its aqueous fraction on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. With regard to the reference strains, the best activity was noted with the aqueous fraction with a MIC of 2.5 mg/ml on the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain ATCC 27853. However, the standard antibiotics used are more effective than the extract and its fractions.

**INTRODUCTION:** Over the past few decades, we have seen a worrying increase in antibiotic resistance<sup>1</sup>. The antibiotic resistance crisis has been attributed to the overuse and misuse of these medications, as well as a lack of new drug development by the pharmaceutical industry due to reduced economic incentives and challenging regulatory requirements<sup>2, 3, 4</sup>.

Faced with this situation, the search for new antibiotic molecules is now more than necessary. The use of plant extracts and phytochemicals, both with known antimicrobial properties, can be of great significance in therapeutic treatments. These products are known by their active substances, for example, the phenolic compounds as well as in tannin<sup>5</sup>.

*Stereospermum kunthianum* is used in local medicine practices to treat gastritis, asthenia, respiratory diseases but also as a wound healing<sup>6</sup>. The stem bark decoction or infusion is used to cure bronchitis, pneumonia, coughs, rheumatic arthritis, and dysentery<sup>7</sup>. Biological investigations have shown that *S. kunthianum* extracts possess

	<p>QUICK RESPONSE CODE</p>
	<p>DOI: 10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.IJP.8(3).119-23</p>
<p>The article can be accessed online on <a href="http://www.ijournal.com">www.ijournal.com</a></p>	
<p>DOI link: <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.IJP.8(3).119-23">http://dx.doi.org/10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.IJP.8(3).119-23</a></p>	

antibacterial<sup>8, 9</sup>, anti-inflammatory<sup>10</sup>, anti-convulsant<sup>11</sup>, antihelmintic<sup>12</sup>, antidiarrhoeal<sup>13</sup> and analgesic<sup>14</sup> and wound healing<sup>15</sup> activities. The study is focused on the trunk bark, which is the most used part of the plant in Ferlo (Senegal). The aim of this study is to explore *in-vitro* the bacterial inhibitory activities of the trunk bark hydro-ethanolic extract and its fractions.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS:

**Plant Material:** The trunk bark of SK was collected from Widou in the municipality of Téssékéré (Louga, Senegal) in March 2019. The plant has been identified at the Pharmacognosy and Botany Laboratory of the Faculty of Medicine, Pharmacy and Odontology (FMPO) of Cheikh Anta Diop University in Dakar, and a voucher specimen (n° 1410) was deposited in the herbarium of the same institute (FMPO) for future reference. The bark (1 kg) was dried out of sun exposition for fifty days at room temperature, then pulverized using a Brabender-type electric grinder.

**Biological Support:** The study of the antibacterial activity was carried out using as reference strains: *Escherichia coli* ATCC 35218, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 29213, and *Enterococcus faecalis* ATCC 29212. The activity has also been investigated on clinical strains of the same species except for *E. faecalis*. The choice of these bacteria is motivated by the fact that they represent the Gram-positive and Gram-negative species most commonly responsible for nosocomial infections and resistance to antibiotics.

**Culture Media:** - Nutrient agar for the isolation and maintenance of bacterial strains; - Mueller-Hinton agar for studying the sensitivity of bacteria to the extract and its different fractions.

**Antibiotics:** Two different antibiotics were used as reference: ofloxacin (OFX) and Ceftazidime (CAZ). The choice was made according to the availability of the products.

## Methodology:

**Preparation of Plant Extracts:** The plant extracts were prepared at the Pharmacognosy and Botany Laboratory of the Sarr et al.; APRJ, 7(1): 1-9, 2021; Article no.APRJ.63347 3 Faculty of Medicine, Pharmacy and Odontology (FMPO) of

Cheikh Anta Diop University in Dakar. The extraction was done by decoction under reflux of 50 g of trunk bark powder in 500 ml of an ethanol/water mixture (80-20; v/v) for 30 minutes. The pumice stone was added to stabilize the boiling. After filtration, the solution was concentrated using a rotary evaporator at 70 °C. The result was a pasty extract that was dried in a desiccator. The dry extract thus obtained constitutes the hydro-ethanolic extract (EHE).

**Fractionation:** The fractionation of the hydro-ethanolic extract (EHE) was carried out using a 1000 ml separating funnel with solvents of increasing polarity. Thus, a quantity of 2.5 g of dry extract was dissolved in 300 ml of distilled water and then introduced into a separating funnel. This solution is subsequently exhausted three times with 300 ml of dichloromethane. The dichloromethane phases thus obtained are combined and evaporated to dryness to give the dichloromethane fraction (DF). The aqueous phase was subsequently treated in the same manner as previously with ethyl acetate and then with butanol to give the ethyl acetate (EAF), butanol (BF), and aqueous fractions (AF), respectively.

## Antibacterial Activity:

**Screening for the antimicrobial Potential:** The extract and its fractions obtained above were screened for their antibacterial activity in comparison with two standard antibiotics: ofloxacin (OFX) and Ceftazidime (CAZ) *in-vitro* by Kirby Bauer method on Muller Hinton media<sup>16</sup>. After inoculation, cylinders were placed in the agar, keeping a distance of 30 mm between them. These cylinders thus placed were filled with extract of the plant (EHE) and its fractions (DF, EAF, BF, AF) or standard solutions (OFX and CAZ) before the petri dishes were incubated at 37 °C in an oven for 24 h. The concentration of the samples introduced into the cylinders was 10 mg/ml. At the end of the incubation, the results were recorded by measuring the zone of growth inhibition surrounding the cylinder.

## Determination of MICs by the Dilution Method:

The Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) was determined based on standard procedures and cited literature<sup>17, 18</sup> by using 96-well micro-plates. Thus, from an initial concentration of 10 mg/ml for each

sample, a series of ½ dilutions was carried out. The range of final concentrations obtained corresponds to 10 - 5 - 2.5 - 1.25 - 0.625 - 0.312 - 0.156 - 0.078 - 0.039 and 0.019 mg/ml. The extract and its fractions antibacterial activity testing was done by incubating the micro-plates with inoculums containing 0.1 ml of microbial cell at 37 °C for 24 h. The smallest concentration that inhibited the growth of the test bacteria was considered as MIC of the sample.

**Statistical Analysis:** The statistical analysis were done by Stat view 4.5 software using the Fischer test. The difference was considered significant when  $p < 0.05$  compared to the negative control.

## RESULTS:

**Extraction and Fractionation:** After extraction of 50 g of *S. kunthianum* bark powder, a dry extract weighing 10.135 g was obtained, giving a yield of 20.27%. The weights and yields of the fractions from the dry extract are given in **Table 1**.

### Antibacterial Activities:

**Sensitivity Test:** The results of the bacterial sensitivity tests are mentioned in **Table 2**. The bacteriostatic action results in the appearance of a zone of inhibition around the cylinder filled with extract or fraction studied.

The inhibition diameter zone differs from bacteria to bacteria and from extract to extract. As stated in literature <sup>19</sup>, we considered that an extract has bacteriostatic action if its inhibition diameter is greater than 8 mm. However, all of them achieved a relatively smaller zone of inhibition against isolated bacteria than the standard antibiotics used in this present study.

**Determining MIC:** The MIC of the studied samples are shown in **Fig. 1**. They range from 0,156 mg/ml to 10 mg/ml.

The EHE and AF showed the lowest MIC against clinical strains of *P. aeruginosa*. Relatively, larger MICs were obtained with the DF, BF, EAF, and EHE against *Escherichia coli* ATCC 35218, *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 29213, and the clinical strains of *P. aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus*.

**TABLE 1: FRACTIONATION YIELDS OF THE HYDRO-ETHANOLIC EXTRACT (EHE)**


Fractions	Quantités (g)	Rendement (%)
DF	0.200	1.98
EAF	1.495	14.75
BF	1.403	13.85
AF	2.98	29.41

DF: Dichloromethane Fraction; EAF: Ethyl Acetate Fraction; BF: Butanolic Fraction; AF: Aqueous Fraction

**TABLE 2: INHIBITION ZONE DIAMETERS OF THE HEE AND IS FRACTIONS IN MM**

	<i>Escherichia coli</i>		<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>		<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>		<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> ACTT 29212
	ATCC 35218	Clinique	ATCC 27853	Clinique	ATCC 29213	Clinique	
EHE	12	10	11	18	13	12	-
DF	9	11	-	11	-	-	-
EAF	-	-	13	10	12	11	-
BF	9	12	11	13	11	13	-
AF	-	-	16	17	13	15	-
OFX	31	NT	17	NT	27	NT	19
CAZ	31	NT	27	NT	24	NT	11

-: no antibacterial activity NT: not tested



**FIG. 1: MICs OF THE TEST EXTRACT AND ITS FRACTIONS AGAINST DIFFERENT BACTERIAL SPECIES**

**DISCUSSION:** The bioactivity of plant extracts is attributed to phytochemical constituents. For instance, plant-rich in phenolic compounds have antibacterial potential<sup>20, 21, 22</sup>. Alkaloids are also known to have antimicrobial properties<sup>23</sup>.

Phytochemical studies carried out on the extracts, and its fractions revealed the presence of several chemical families. The polar samples (HEE, EAF, BF and AF) contained mainly tannins, flavonoids and saponins. Sterols and triterpenes were found in the apolar fraction of dichloromethane. Alkaloids were found in EHE and FA<sup>24</sup>. This study showed the highest inhibition against *P. aeruginosa* with the EHE and the AF, which are polar samples.

Except AF, the EHE is more effective than all its fractions. Therefore, fractionation of the EHE results in fractions that are less effective or have no activity. This means that there is a synergy of action at the level of the molecules present in the EHE. The highest antibacterial activity noted for the EHE and the AF against bacteria, in particular *P. aeruginosa* would probably be linked to the synergistic action of two different groups of chemical compounds (phenolics compounds and alkaloids), which are both identified only in these two samples<sup>24</sup>. The antibacterial activity of *S. K* was documented<sup>25, 26</sup>. An ethanolic stem-bark extract showed a similar MIC (0.1565 mg/ml) than the EHE against *P. aeruginosa*. An ethereal leaves extract compared to our apolar fraction (DF) showed more efficiency against *S. aureus* (MIC of 2.09 mg/ml vs. 10 mg/ml respectively) and closer results against *E. coli* (8.30 vs. 5 mg/ml). Thus, the use of leaves instead of bark could be considered to protect the species.

However, all samples achieved smaller inhibition zones against tested bacteria than antibiotics standard used this study. We can also noted that *E. faecalis* is resistant to the extract and all its fractions.

**CONCLUSION:** Medicinal plants have always been a reliable source of active ingredients known for their therapeutic and antibacterial properties in particular. In this presented study, we were interested in studying the antibacterial effect of a hydro-ethanolic extract and its dichlorometanic, acetalic, butanolic, and residual aqueous fractions.

EHE exhibits better antibacterial activity than its fractions. The aqueous fraction was found to be more active than the other fractions on the bacteria used. Prospects for studies may be directed towards studying the antioxidant activity of the extract and its fractions.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:** We wish to acknowledge the contribution of Aristide Le Dantec Hospital Dakar, for providing the facilities for conducting the research.

**CONFLICTS OF INTEREST:** Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES:

1. Carle S: La résistance aux antibiotiques: un enjeu de santé publique important ! *Pharmactuel* 2010; 42(S2): 6-21.
2. Gould IM and Bal AM: New antibiotic agents in the pipeline and how they can overcome microbial resistance. *Virulence* 2013; 4(2):185-191
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Office of Infectious Disease Antibiotic resistance threats in the United States, 2013. Apr, 2013. Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/threat-report-2013>. Accessed January 28, 2015
4. Viswanathan VK: Off-label abuse of antibiotics by bacteria. *Gut Microbes*. 2014; 5(1): 3-15. Michael CA, Dominey-Howes D and Labbate M: The antibiotic resistance crisis: causes, consequences, and management. *Front Public Health* 2014; 2: 145.
5. Saxena G, McCutcheon AR, Farmer S, Towers GHN and Hancock REW: Antimicrobial constituents of *Rhus glabra*. *J Ethnopharmacol* 1994; 42: 95-99.
6. Arbres AM: arbustes et lianes des zones sèches d'Afrique de l'Ouest. 4e édition, Editions Quae. Versailles, France. 2019.
7. Sanogo R: Medicinal plants traditionally used in Mali for dysmenorrhea, *af J Tradit Complement Altern Med* 2011; 8(S): 90-96
8. Adamu HM, Abayeh OJ, Agho MO, Abdullahi AL, Uba A and Dukku HU: An ethnobotanical survey of Bauchi state herbal plants and their antimicrobial activity. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 2005; 9: 1-4.
9. Van Vuuren SF and Viljoen AM: The *in-vitro* antimicrobial activity of toothbrush sticks used in Ethiopia. *South African Journal of Botany* 2006; 72: 646-48.
10. Ching FP, Omogbai EKI, Okpo SO and Ozolua RI: Anti-inflammatory activity of aqueous extract of *Stereospermum kunthianum* (Cham, Sandrine Petit) stem bark in rats. *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* 2009; 71: 106-10.
11. Ching FP, Omogbai EKI and Otokiti IO: Aqueous stem bark extract of *Stereospermum kunthianum* (Cham, Sandrine Petit) protects against generalized seizures in entylenetetrazole and electro-convulsive models in rodent. *African Journal of Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Medicines* 2009; 6: 544-48.
12. Monglo D, Njongmeta LM, Musongong G, Ngassoum M and Nukenine EN: Evaluation of anthelmintic potential of ethanolic plant extracts from northern Cameroon against



- eggs and infective larvae of *Haemonchus contortus*. The Journal of Biological Sciences 2006; 6: 426-33.
13. Ching FP, Okpo SO, Falodun A and Omogbai EKI: Antidiarrhoeal activity of chromatographic fractions of *Stereospermum kunthianum* Cham Sandrine Petit (Bignoniaceae) Stem Bark. Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 2009; 8(6): 515-19.
  14. Sanogo R, Maiga A and Diallo D: Activités analgésique et anti-inflammatoire des extraits de *Maytenus senegalensis*, *Stereospermum kunthianum* et *Triciliaemetic* utilisées dans le traitement traditionnel des dysménorrhées au Mali, Pharm Méd Trad Afr 2006; 14: 123-36.
  15. Tsala DE, Mendimi NJ, Lissia TH and Solomon H: Activité cicatrisante de *Stereospermum kunthianum* Cham. J Med herbes and Ethnomed 2016; 2: 1-6.
  16. Biemer JJ: Antibacterial susceptibility testing by the Kirby- Bauer disc diffusion method. Ann Clin Lab Science 1973; 3(2): 135-40.
  17. CLSI, Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved Standard –9<sup>th</sup> edition. CLSI document M07-A9. Wayne, PA 2012, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.
  18. Sule I and Agbabiaka T: Antibacterial effect of some plant extracts on selected Enterobacteriaceae. Ethnobotanical Leaflets 2008; 2008(1): 137.
  19. Nascimento GGF, Locatelli J, Freitas PCL and Silva GL: Antibacterial activity of plant extracts and phytochemicals on antibiotic-resistant bacteria, Braz J Microbiol 2000; 31: 4 São Paulo Oct./Dec. doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83822000000400003
  20. Scalbert A: Antimicrobial properties of tanins. Phytochemistry 1991; 30: 3875-83.
  21. Bruneton J: Pharmacognosie Phytochimie plantes médicinales. Paris, éd Technique et documentation Lavoisier 1993; 915.
  22. Cowan MJ: Plants products as microbial agents. Clin microbiolrev 1999; 12: 564-82.
  23. Vyas P: Antimicrobial activity of ayurvedic hand sanitizers. Int J Pharm & Bio Arch 2011; 2(2): 1–5.
  24. Sarr A, Dieng SIM, Badji KD, Mbaye AI, Diatta W, Ka A, Fall AD and Bassène E: Phytochemical Screening and Determination of Polyphenols in the Hydro-Ethanollic Extract of TrunkBark and Its Fractions of *Stereospermum kunthianum* Cham (Bignoniaceae), Asian Plant Research Journal 2021; 7(1): 1-9.
  25. Saljaba GM, Osemeahon SA and Baminas JT: Photochemical and antimicrobial activities of stem-bark of *Stereospermum Kunthianum* Plant. International Journal of Research 2015; 2(8): 752-58.
  26. Aliyu MS, Hanwa UA, Tijjani MB, Aliyu ABand Ya'u B: Phytochemical and Antibacterial Properties of Leaf Extract of *Stereospermum kunthianum* (Bignoniaceae), Nigerian Journal of Basic and Applied Science 2009; 17(2): 235-39.

**How to cite this article:**

Sarr A, Dieng A, Dieng SIM, Sonko K, Diatara D, Fall AD and Bassene E: Antibacterial activity of the hydro-ethanollic trunk bark extract of *Stereospermum kunthianum* cham. trunk (Bignoniaceae) and its fractions. Int J Pharmacognosy 2021; 8(3): 119-23. doi link: [http://dx.doi.org/10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.IJP.8\(3\).119-23](http://dx.doi.org/10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.IJP.8(3).119-23).

This Journal licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non-commercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported License.

This article can be downloaded to **Android OS** based mobile. Scan QR Code using Code/Bar Scanner from your mobile. (Scanners are available on Google Playstore)